



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
(Case No. 02-252-B)

In the Application of:

Cummings et al.

Serial No. : 09/886,165

I

Filed: June 20, 2001

For: Dielectrophoretic Systems Without
Embedded Electrodes

Examiner: Ling Siu Choi

Art Unit: 1713

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. Section 1.97 - 1.99, the Applicant wishes to make the following references of record in the above-identified application. This Information Disclosure Statement is in compliance with the continuing duty of candor as set forth in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.56. These references are also listed on the enclosed PTO Form 1449.

In the judgment of the undersigned, portions of the listed references may be material to the Examiner's consideration of the presently pending claims. However, the references have not been reviewed in sufficient detail to make any other representation and, in particular, no representation is intended as to the relative relevance between references, whether cited in this or prior statements. This statement is not a representation that the listed references have effective dates early enough to be "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. Section 102 or Section 103.

United States Patents

1. Austin et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,837,115, issued November 17, 1998.
2. Regnier et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,596,144, issued July 22, 2003.
3. Austin et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,824,664, issued November 30, 2004.

03/23/2005 SFELEKE1 00000007 098866165

02 FC:1806

180.00 0P

In accordance with MPEP Sections 609 and 707.05(b), it is requested the document cited (including any cited in applicant's specification which is not repeated on the attached Form PTO-1449) be given thorough consideration and that it be cited of record in the prosecution history of the present application by initialing on Form PTO-1449. Such initialing is requested even if the Examiner does not consider a cited document to be sufficiently pertinent to use in a rejection, or otherwise does not consider it to be prior art for any reason, or even if the Examiner does not believe that the guidelines for citation have been fully complied with. This is requested so that each document becomes listed on the face of the patent issuing on the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 21, 2005

By:

Raafat M. Shaltout

Raafat M. Shaltout, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 45,092

McDonnell, Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

300 South Wacker Drive, 31st Floor

Chicago, IL 60606

312 913-0001

FORM PTO-1449
(Rev. 2-32)

U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office

Atty. Docket No.

02-252-B

Serial No.

09/886,165



**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**
(Use several sheets if necessary)

Applicant:
Cummings, et al.

Filing Date:
June 20, 2001

Group:
1713

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
	1.	5,837,115	Nov 17, 1998	Austin et al			
	2.	6,596,144	July 22, 2003	Regnier et al.			
	3.	6,824,664	Nov 30, 2004	Austin et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Translation	
							Yes	No

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc).

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED	

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication.